arXiv:1608.07849v4 [math.FA] 26 Feb 2017

GARSIA-RODEMICH SPACES: BOURGAIN-BREZIS-MIRONESCU SPACE, EMBEDDINGS AND REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT SPACES

MARIO MILMAN

Abstract. We extend the construction of Garsia-Rodemich spaces in different directions. We show that the new space **B,** introduced by Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu [6], can be described via a suitable scaling of the Garsia-Rodemich norms. As an application we give a new proof of the embeddings $BMO \subset \mathbf{B} \subset L(n',\infty)$. We then generalize the Garsia-Rodemich construction and introduce the $GaRo_X$ spaces associated with a rearrangement invariant space X, in such a way that $GaRo_X = X$, for a large class of rearrangement invariant spaces. The underlying inequality for this new characterization of rearrangement invariant spaces is an extension of the rearrangement inequalities of [17]. We introduce Gagliardo seminorms adapted to rearrangement invariant spaces and use our generalized Garsia-Rodemich construction to prove Fractional Sobolev inequalities in this context.

1. Introduction

In their celebrated paper [16], John-Nirenberg introduced the space[1] $BMO(Q_0)$, and established the exponential integrability of functions in $BMO(Q_0)$. To complement their result on BMO functions, John-Nirenberg introduced the $JN_p(Q_0)$ spaces,which provide a scale of conditions on the oscillation of functions: For $1 < p < \infty$, let

$$JN_p(Q_0) := JN_p = \{f \in L^1(Q_0) : \|f\|_{JN_p} < \infty\},$$

where[2]

(1.1)

$$\|f\|_{JN_p} = \sup_{\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}\in P} \|f\|_{JN_p} = \sup_{\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}\in P} \left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left(|Q_i|^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f_{Q_i}|\,dx\right)^p\right\}^{1/p},$$

$P = \{\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} : \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ countable subcubes of Q_0 with pairwise disjoint interiors$\}$. Then, we see that (cf. [16, pag 423])

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\|f\|_{JN_p} = \|f\|_{BMO}\,.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46E35.

Key words and phrases. BMO, Marcinkiewicz spaces, embeddings, rearrangement invariant spaces.

The author was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (\#207929 to Mario Milman).

[1]For definiteness, from now on Q_0 will denote the unit cube $(0,1)^n$.

[2]In this paper we assume that all subcubes have sides parallel to the coordinate axes and we let $f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q f$.

John-Nirenberg [16] then proceeded to obtain the corresponding intermediate integrability results for JN_p functions, which we formulate here as embeddings: For $1 < p < \infty$, we have

$$JN_p \subset L(p,\infty), \tag{1.2}$$
$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{L(p,\infty)} \leq c_p \, \|f\|_{JN_p} \,,$$

where $L(p,\infty)$ denotes the Marcinkiewicz weak type L^p space[3], and c_p is an absolute constant that does not depend on f.

We note that, as $p \to \infty$, the correct limiting Marcinkiewicz condition is the exponential class and the resulting limiting inequality is one of the possible formulations of the celebrated John-Nirenberg Lemma[4].

Garsia-Rodemich [15] introduced a different scale of conditions. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$, then we define,

$$GaRo_p := GaRo_p(Q_0) = \{f : \|f\|_{GaRo_p} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_p} = \sup_{\{Q_i\}\in P} \frac{\sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)| \, dxdy}{\left(\sum_{i\in I} |Q_i|\right)^{1/p'}}. \tag{1.3}$$

The main result about the $GaRo_p$ spaces is given by the following (cf. [15] for the one dimensional case, [17] for the $n-$dimensional case): As sets,

$$GaRo_p = \begin{cases} L(p,\infty), & 1 < p < \infty \\ BMO & \text{if } \ p = \infty \end{cases} . \tag{1.4}$$

In fact, the underlying inequalities can be quantified (cf. [17], [18]). Let $1 < p < \infty$, then,

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_p} \leq \frac{2p}{p-1} \, \|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{L(p,\infty)} \,, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{L(p,\infty)} \leq c(n,p) \, \|f\|_{GaRo_p} \,. \tag{1.6}$$

Likewise, for $p = \infty$, we have (cf. [18])

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_\infty} \simeq \|f\|_{BMO} \,, \tag{1.7}$$

and (cf. [4])

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{L(\infty,\infty)} \leq c(n) \, \|f\|_{BMO} \,. \tag{1.8}$$

[3]defined by the condition

$$\|f\|_{L(p,\infty)} = \sup_t t \, |\{|f| > t\}|^{1/p} < \infty.$$

[4]The natural condition in our context is via the Bennett-DeVore-Sharpley space "weak L^∞" defined by $L(\infty,\infty) = \{f : \|f\|_{L(\infty,\infty)} = \sup_{t>0} (f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)) < \infty\}$. In fact, this space is exactly the rearrangement invariant hull of BMO (cf. [4]). For a recent account of this part of the story we refer to [19].

It is easy to see that[5]

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_p} \leq 2\,\|f\|_{JN_p}\,. \tag{1.9}$$

Therefore, combining (1.6) and (1.9) gives a new proof the John-Nirenberg embedding (1.2), and combining (1.7) with (1.8) gives the John-Nirenberg Lemma. Moreover, the Garsia-Rodemich spaces are particularly well suited to study other important inequalities in analysis, including Poincaré-Sobolev embeddings (cf. [18], and Section 4 below), and the basic construction can be extended to more general settings, e.g. metric spaces, doubling measures, etc.

In this paper we extend the Garsia-Rodemich construction and the scope of its applications. We first show that the new space, **B,** introduced by Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu [6] is closely connected to suitable scalings of the Garsia-Rodemich norms. As an application we give a new streamlined approach to the remarkable embedding obtained in [6] (cf. (2.2) and Theorem 1 below),

$$BMO \subset \mathbf{B} \ \subset L(n',\infty). \tag{1.10}$$

The description of the weak L^p spaces (cf. (1.4)) via the Garsia-Rodemich conditions raises a natural question: can one also describe the L^p spaces or other function spaces through Garsia-Rodemich oscillation conditions? We show that this is indeed the case by means of modifying a construction of certain martingale spaces, apparently first introduced by Garsia [14, K_p^+ spaces, page 165]. Let $X := X(Q_0)$ be a rearrangement invariant space (cf. Section 3 below for background information).

Definition 1. *We shall say that an integrable function f belongs to $GaRo_X$ if there exists $\gamma \in X$ such that for all $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in P$ it holds*

$$\sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy \leq \sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\gamma(x)dx. \tag{1.11}$$

Let

$$\Gamma_f^X = \{\gamma \in X : (1.11)\ \textit{holds for all}\ \{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in P\},$$

and define

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_X} = \inf\{\|\gamma\|_X : \gamma \in \Gamma_f^X\}.$$

In Section 3 (cf. Theorem 3) we show that for rearrangement invariant spaces X whose Boyd indices lie on $(0,1)$[6], we have

$$GaRo_X = X. \tag{1.12}$$

[5]Indeed, for any $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy &\leq 2\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f_{Q_i}|\,dx\\ &= 2\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|^{1/p'}\left(|Q_i|^{1/p}\,\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f_{Q_i}|\,dx\right)\\ &\leq 2\left(\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|\right)^{1/p'}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|\left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f_{Q_i}|\,dx\right)^p\right\}^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

and (1.9) follows.

[6]In particular, this class of spaces includes the L^p spaces and the Marcinkiewicz spaces $L(p,\infty)$, $1<p<\infty$.

In particular, combining (1.12) with (1.4) it follows that

$$GaRo_{L(p,\infty)} = L(p,\infty) = GaRo_p, 1 < p < \infty.$$

The proof is based on a suitable extension of the rearrangement inequalities of [17] (cf. Section 3, Theorem 2, below). There exists a constant $c = c(n)$ such that for all $f \in GaRo_X$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$,

$$f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) \leq c\gamma^{**}(t),\ t \in (0, \frac{1}{4}).$$

As a consequence, we can extend (1.5) and (1.6) to the context of rearrangement invariant spaces (cf. (3.3), (3.4) below).

In Section 4 we use the Garsia-Rodemich conditions to extend the connection between the space **B** and fractional Sobolev embeddings obtained in [6]. Let

$$W^{\alpha,p} = \{f : \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} < \infty\}, \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{W^{\alpha,p}} = \left\{\int_{Q_0}\int_{Q_0} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}} dxdy\right\}^{1/p}. \tag{1.14}$$

Then[7] (cf. Theorem 4 and Remark 4 below),

$$W^{\alpha,p} \subset GaRo_q, 1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}. \tag{1.15}$$

The generalized Garsia-Rodemich construction can be used to give a far reaching extension of (1.15) to the setting of rearrangement invariant spaces. To implement this program we introduce Gagliardo seminorms adapted to rearrangement invariant spaces as follows. Let $\alpha \in (0,1), 1 < p < \infty$, we formally define

$$D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y) = \left\{\int_{Q_0} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}} dx\right\}^{1/p}, y \in Q_0. \tag{1.16}$$

Given a rearrangement invariant space $Y := Y(Q_0)$ we consider the spaces defined by

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y} := W^\alpha_{p,Y}(Q_0) := \{f : \|f\|_{W^\alpha_{p,Y}} = \|D_{p,\alpha}(f)\|_Y < \infty\}. \tag{1.17}$$

For example, if $Y = L^p$, then

$$W^\alpha_{p,L^p} = W^{\alpha,p}. \tag{1.18}$$

Let $X := X(Q_0)$ and $Y := Y(Q_0)$ be rearrangement invariant spaces such that the local Riesz potential operator

$$I_{\alpha,Q_0}(f)(y) = \int_{Q_0} \frac{f(x)}{|x-y|^{n-a}} dx, y \in Q_0,$$

defines a bounded map, $I_{\alpha,Q_0} : Y \to X$. Then, the following continuous embedding holds (cf. Theorem 5 below)

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y} \subset GaRo_X.$$

It follows that if the Boyd indices of X lie in the interval $(0,1)$ then (cf. Theorem 3)

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y} \subset X.$$

[7]Commenting on an earlier version of this paper, where we had assumed $p > 1$, Daniel Spector observed that our method of proof also yielded the case $p = 1$ (cf. Remark 4 below).

The proof is achieved by means of showing that there exists an absolute constant $c = c(n, \|I_{\alpha,Q_0}\|_{Y\to X}) > 0$ such that $cI_{\alpha,Q_0}(D_{p,\alpha}(f)) \in \Gamma_f^X$ for all $f \in W^\alpha_{p,Y}$. For example, suppose that $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$, $1 \le r_1 \le r_2 \le \infty$, then, since it is easy to relate mapping properties of I_{α,Q_0} to those of the usual Riesz potential I_α, and, as is well known for Lorentz spaces we have (cf. [21]), $I_\alpha : L(p, r_1) \to L(q, r_2)$, we can conclude that[8] (cf. Example 1 below)

$$W^\alpha_{p,L(p,r_1)} \subset GaRo_{L(q,r_2)} = L(q, r_2).$$

The end point inequalities for local Riesz potentials that were obtained in [10] can be also implemented here. For example, when $p = \frac{n}{\alpha}$, we have (cf. [10, Theorem 2]), $I_{\alpha,Q_0} : L(\frac{n}{\alpha}, \frac{n}{\alpha}) \to BW_{n/\alpha}$, where

$$BW_{n/\alpha} = \{f : \int_0^1 \left(\frac{f^*(t)}{(1 + \log \frac{1}{t})}\right)^{n/\alpha} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty\}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following fractional version of the well known Brezis-Wainger inequality [10] (cf. Example 3 below),

$$W^\alpha_{\frac{n}{\alpha}} = W^\alpha_{\frac{n}{\alpha},L(\frac{n}{\alpha},\frac{n}{\alpha})} \subset GaRo_{BW_{n/\alpha}}.$$

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to Sergey Astashkin who pointed out that the original proof of Theorem 1 was not complete. I am also grateful to Daniel Spector for his proof of Remark 4. I am also grateful to the anonymous referee who insisted that I should prove stronger results. Needless to say that I remain responsible for the remaining shortcomings.

2. The Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu space and the scaling of Garsia Rodemich conditions

Let us observe that neither the norms nor the $GaRo_p$ spaces change if we replace in the definition (1.3) the test space P by

$$\tilde{P} = \{\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} : \{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \text{ subcubes of } Q_0 \text{ with pairwise disjoint interiors with } \#I < \infty\},$$

where

$$\#I = \text{cardinality of } I.$$

We now turn to the connection with the Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu construction. Given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we let

$$\tilde{P}_\varepsilon = \{\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} : \{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in \tilde{P}, \text{ with side of } Q_i = \varepsilon \text{ for all } i \in I, \text{ and } \#I \le \varepsilon^{1-n}\}.$$

The space B of Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu is defined by

$$B = \{f \in L^1(Q_0) : \|f\|_B < \infty\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_B &= \sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} \varepsilon^{n-1} \sup_{\{Q_i\}\in\tilde{P}_\varepsilon} \sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy \\ &= \sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} \varepsilon^{-1} \sup_{\{Q_i\}\in\tilde{P}_\varepsilon} \sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy.\end{aligned}$$

[8] In particular, if we let $r_1 = p < r_2 = \infty$, we recover (1.15).

More generally, one can consider the scale of spaces $B_p, 1 < p \leq \infty$, defined by

$$B_p = \{f : \|f\|_{B_p} = \sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} \varepsilon^{-1/p'} \sup_{\{Q_i\}\in \tilde{P}_\varepsilon} \sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy < \infty\}.$$

Then, of course the space B corresponds to the choice $p = \infty$,

$$B = B_\infty.$$

Now it is easy to see the relationship between B_p and $GaRo_p$. First note that $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon \subset \tilde{P}$, moreover, if $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in \tilde{P}_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i\in I} |Q_i|\right)^{1/p'} = (\varepsilon^n(\#I))^{1/p'} \leq \varepsilon^{1/p'},\ 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Consequently, if $f \in GaRo_p$ then, for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and for all $\{Q_i\} \in \tilde{P}_\varepsilon$,

$$\sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy \leq \varepsilon^{1/p'} \|f\|_{GaRo_p}.$$

In other words,

$$\|f\|_{B_p} \leq \|f\|_{GaRo_p}, 1 < p \leq \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, for $p = \infty$, it follows from (2.1) and (1.7) that (cf. [6])

$$\|f\|_B \leq \|f\|_{GaRo_\infty} \approx \|f\|_{BMO}. \tag{2.2}$$

With these preliminaries in place we shall now give an easy proof of a more refined embedding result that was obtained in [6] with a different proof.

Theorem 1.

$$B \subset L(n', \infty). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. We will actually show that if $f \in B$,

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_{n'}} \preceq \|f\|_B. \tag{2.4}$$

The desired result will then follow from (1.6) above. We shall show below that when testing the $GaRo_{n'}$ norm it will be enough to consider dyadic cubes. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary element of P formed with dyadic cubes. Following [6] we split $\mathcal{Q}$ as follows. For each $j \in N$, we consider $\mathcal{F}_j = \{Q_i \in \mathcal{Q} : |Q_i| = 2^{-jn}\}$, then $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_j$. For each j we consider subsets $Q_* \subset \mathcal{F}_j$ such that

$$\#Q_* \leq \left(2^{-j}\right)^{-(n-1)} = 2^{j(n-1)}.$$

For any such subfamily of cubes Q_* we have

$$\sum_{Q_i\in Q_*} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy \leq 2^{-j} \|f\|_B.$$

Therefore, covering $\mathcal{F}_j$ with disjoint families of subcubes Q_* as above, we find, following the proof in [6], that

$$\sum_{Q_i\in \mathcal{F}_j} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy \leq \left(2^{-j} + 2^{-jn}(\#\mathcal{F}_j)\right) \|f\|_B.$$

Consequently

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_Q|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy\le\left(\sum_{j,\mathcal{F}_j\neq\varnothing}2^{-j}+\sum_j 2^{-jn}(\#\mathcal{F}_j)\right)\|f\|_B$$
$$=A+B. \tag{2.5}$$

To estimate A note that if $\mathcal{F}_{j_0}\neq\varnothing$ there is $Q^0\in\mathcal{F}_{j_0}$ such that

$$2^{-j_0}=\left|Q^0\right|^{1/n}\le\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n}.$$

Therefore, if we let j_0 the first index such that $\mathcal{F}_{j_0}\neq\varnothing$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,\mathcal{F}_j\neq\varnothing}2^{-j}&=\sum_{j\ge j_0,\mathcal{F}_j\neq\varnothing}2^{-j}\\&=2^{-j_0}\sum_{j\ge j_0,\mathcal{F}_j\neq\varnothing}2^{-(j-j_0)}\\&\le\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n}.\end{aligned}$$

Term B is estimated by noting that

$$2^{-jn}(\#\mathcal{F}_j)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}_j}|Q|$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}B&=\sum_j\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{F}_j}|Q|=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|=\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n}\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n'}\\&\le\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates of A and B in (2.5) we find

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_Q|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy\le C\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|\right)^{1/n}\|f\|_B.$$

It follows that

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_{n'}}\le C\|f\|_B.$$

To conclude the proof we argue that only dyadic cubes need to be tested. Indeed, we may assume without loss that $\int_{Q_0}f=0$. Then $\|f\|_{GaRo_{n'}}\sim\|f\|_{L(n',\infty)}$. As is well known $L(n',\infty)$ can be obtained by the real method of interpolation (cf. [5])

$$L(n',\infty)=(L^1,L^\infty)_{1/n,\infty},$$

with

$$\|f\|_{L(n',\infty)}\sim\sup_{t>0}t^{-1/n}[\inf\{\|h\|_{L^1}+t\|g\|_{L^\infty}:f=h+g\}].$$

The computation of the indicated infimum (called the $K-$functional of f) can be achieved by elementary cutoffs but can be also achieved using Calderón-Zygmund decompositions. Indeed, a Calderón-Zygmund decomposition $f = h_{CZ}(t) + g_{CZ}(t)$, nearly achieves the infimum and indeed (cf. [6])

$$\|f\|_{L(n',\infty)} \sim \sup\{t^{-1/n} \|h_{CZ}(t)\|_{L^1}\}.$$

But as it turns out the computation of $t^{-1/n} \|h_{CZ}(t)\|_{L^1}$ corresponds to the computation of $\sum_{Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)| \, dxdy$ (cf. [6])! Our assertion then follows since the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition used is dyadic. □

Remark 1. *As we hope it is clear from the proof, the key of the argument is the introduction of the Garsia-Rodemich conditions.*

3. A characterization of rearrangement invariant spaces via Garsia-Rodemich spaces

We start by recalling a few basic notions on rearrangements and rearrangement invariant spaces. We refer to [5] and [8] for further details and background.

Let $f : Q_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, be a measurable function. The distribution function of f is given by[9]

$$\lambda_f(t) = |\{x \in Q_0 : |u(x)| > t\}| \qquad (t > 0).$$

The decreasing rearrangement of f is the right-continuous non-increasing function from $[0, 1)$ into $\mathbb{R}^+$ which is equimeasurable with f. It can be defined by the formula

$$f^*(s) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \lambda_f(t) \leq s\}, \quad s \in [0, 1),$$

and satisfies

$$\lambda_f(t) = |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x)| > t|\} = |\{s \in [0, 1) : f^*(s) > t\}|, \ t \geq 0.$$

The maximal average $f^{**}(t)$ is defined by

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)ds = \frac{1}{t} \sup \left\{ \int_E |f(x)| \, dx : |E| = t \right\}, \ t > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We say that a Banach function space $X := X(Q_0)$, is a rearrangement-invariant (r.i.) space if $g \in X$ implies that all measurable functions f with the same rearrangement with $f^* = g^*$, also belong to X, and, moreover, $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$. Rearrangement invariant spaces on Q_0 can be represented by a r.i. space on the interval $(0, 1)$, with Lebesgue measure, $\hat{X} = \hat{X}(0, 1)$, such that[10]

$$\|f\|_X = \|f^*\|_{\hat{X}},$$

for every $f \in X$. Since it will be clear from the context which space is involved in the discussion we will "drop the hat" and denote the norm of both spaces with same symbol "$\| \circ \|_X$". Typical examples of r.i. spaces are the L^p-spaces, $L(p, q)$ spaces, Lorentz spaces, Marcinkiewicz spaces and Orlicz spaces.

[9] where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on Q_0 (we also use this notation for the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ since it will cause no confusion).

[10] We refer to [5, Theorem 4.10 and subsequent remarks] for further background information on r.i. spaces.

The following restrictions on the spaces will play a role in our development in this paper (cf. [8], [5]):

(A) There exists a universal constant $\beta(X)$ such that $\|f^{**}\|_X \leq \beta_0(X)\|f\|_X$,

(B) There exists a universal constant $\beta(X)$ such that $\| \int_t^1 f(s)\frac{ds}{s}\|_X \leq \beta_1(X)\|f\|_X$.

In the language of "indices" a space that satisfies both (A) and (B) can be described by saying that "the Boyd indices (cf. [8], [5]) of X are in the interval $(0,1)$".

The basic estimate concerning the $GaRo_X$ spaces (cf. Definition 1 above) is given by

Theorem 2. *Let $X := X(Q_0)$ be a rearrangement invariant space. Then there exists a universal constant $c_n > 0$, such that if $f \in GaRo_X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$, then*

$$f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) \leq c_n \gamma^{**}(t), 0 < t < 1/4. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [17, Theorem 5 pages 496-497] very closely and only indicate in detail the necessary changes at the appropriate steps. Let $f \in GaRo_X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$. Since $||f(x)| - |f(y)|| \leq |f(x) - f(y)|$, it follows that $\Gamma_f^X \subset \Gamma_{|f|}^X$ and $|f| \in GaRo_X$. Moreover, by definition $f^{**}(t) = |f|^{**}(t)$ and $f^*(t) = |f|^*(t)$. In other words, to compute the left hand side of (3.2) we may assume without loss that f is positive[11]. Fix $t > 0$, such that $t < |Q_0|/4 = 1/4$, and let $E = \{x \in Q_0 : f(x) > f^*(t)\}$. By definition, $|E| \leq t < 1/4$, consequently, we can find a relative open subset of Q_0, Ω, say, such that $E \subset \Omega$ and $|\Omega| \leq 2t \leq 1/2$. By [5, Lemma 7.2, page 377] we can find a sequence of cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i\in N}$, with pairwise disjoint interiors, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & |\Omega \cap Q_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_i| \leq |\Omega^c \cap Q_i|, \ i = 1, 2... \\ (ii) \quad & \Omega \subset \bigcup_{i\in N} Q_i \subset Q_0 \\ (iii) \quad & |\Omega| \leq \sum_{i\in N} |Q_i| \leq 2^{n+1}|\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

At this point following all the corresponding steps in [17, Theorem 5 pages 496-497] we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} t\left(f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)\right) &\leq \sum_{i\in N} \left(\int_{Q_i} \{f(x) - f_{Q_i}\}dx + |E \cap Q_i| \{f_{Q_i} - f^*(t)\} \right) \\ &= (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate (II), we let $J = \{i : f_{Q_i} > f^*(t)\}$, and follow the steps of [17, Theorem 5 pages 496-497] until the point we arrive to

$$(II) \leq \sum_{i\in J} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \int_{Q_i} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dxdy.$$

[11] In other words we compute the left hand side using $|f|$ while keeping $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$.

Now, we recall that $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X \subset \Gamma_{|f|}^X$, therefore invoking the definition of $GaRo_X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(II) &\leq \sum_{i\in J}\int_{Q_i}\gamma(x)dx\\ &\leq \int_0^{\sum_{i\in J}|Q_i|}\gamma^*(s)ds\\ &\leq \int_0^{2^{n+2}t}\gamma^*(s)ds\\ &= 2^{n+2}t\gamma^{**}(2^{n+2}t)\\ &\leq 2^{n+2}t\gamma^{**}(t) \text{ (since } \gamma^{**} \text{ is decreasing).}\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we estimate (I) proceeding as in [17, Theorem 5 pages 496-497] until we arrive to

$$(I) \leq \sum_{i\in N}\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy.$$

Again by the definition of Γ_f^X we find

$$(I) \leq 2^{n+2}t\gamma^{**}(t).$$

Combining the inequalities for (I) and (II) we can find a universal constant c_n such that for $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$, we have

$$f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) \leq c_n\gamma^{**}(t), \text{ for all } t < 1/4,$$

as we wished to show. □

We can now state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a rearrangement invariant space with Boyd indices in the interval $(0,1)$. Then, as sets*

$$GaRo_X = X.$$

Moreover, we have the following estimates

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{GaRo_X} \leq 2\|f\|_X \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_X \leq c(X)\|f\|_{GaRo_X}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $c(X)$ depends only on X.

Proof. Let us start by remarking that if $f \in X$ then $2|f| \in \Gamma_f^X$. Indeed, for any family of cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in P$ we have

$$\sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy \leq 2\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)|\,dx.$$

Therefore, $X \subset GaRo_X$ and

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_X} \leq 2\|f\|_X. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, since $\|f\|_{GaRo_X} = \|f - f_{Q_0}\|_{GaRo_X}$, we see that (3.3) follows from (3.5). The remaining inclusion $GaRo_X \subset X$ will follow if we can prove that

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_X \leq c(X)\|f\|_{GaRo_X}.$$

Towards this end let $g = f - f_{Q_0}$. Since $g \in L^1(Q_0)$, we see that $g^{**}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we can write[12]

$$g^{**}(t) = \int_t^\infty \left(g^{**}(s) - g^*(s)\right) \frac{ds}{s}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since f and g differ by a constant we readily see that $\Gamma_g^X = \Gamma_f^X$. Consequently, by (3.2), for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$ we have

$$(g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)) \leq c_n \gamma^{**}(t), t \leq 1/4.$$

To deal with $t > 1/4$, we note that $t\left(g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)\right) = \int_{f^*(t)}^\infty \lambda_g(s) ds \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda_g(s) ds = \|g\|_{L^1}$; therefore,

$$(g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)) \leq t^{-1} \|g\|_{L^1}, t > 1/4.$$

Inserting the last two estimates in (3.6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} g^{**}(t) &\leq c_n \int_t^{1/4} \gamma^{**}(s) \frac{ds}{s} + c_n \|g\|_{L^1} \int_{1/4}^\infty s^{-1} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq c_n \int_t^\infty \gamma^{**}(s) \frac{ds}{s} + c_n 4 \|g\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, writing

$$\int_t^\infty \gamma^{**}(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \int_t^\infty \int_0^s \gamma^*(r) dr \frac{ds}{s^2} = \int_t^\infty \int_0^s \gamma^*(r) dr d(-s^{-1})$$

we see that

$$\int_t^\infty \gamma^{**}(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \gamma^{**}(t) + \int_t^\infty \gamma^*(s) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Inserting this information in (3.7) we find

$$g^{**}(t) \preceq \gamma^{**}(t) + \int_t^\infty \gamma^*(s) \frac{ds}{s} + \|g\|_{L^1}.$$

Therefore, applying the X norm on both sides of the last inequality, and then using the fact that X has Boyd indices lying on $(0, 1)$, we obtain[13]

$$\|g\|_X \preceq \|\gamma\|_X + \|g\|_{L^1}. \tag{3.8}$$

[12]Recall that $\frac{d}{dt}\left(g^{**}(t)\right) = \frac{\left(g^*(t) - g^{**}(t)\right)}{t}$.

[13]Note that $L^\infty \subset X$, which implies that for the constant function $\|g\|_{L^1}$ we have

$$\left\| \|g\|_{L^1} \right\|_X \preceq \|g\|_{L^1}.$$

Now, since $\int_{Q_0} g = 0$, $\{Q_0\} \in P$, and $|Q_0| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|_{L^1} &= \int_{Q_0} |g(x)| = \int_{Q_0} \left| g(x) - \int_{Q_0} g \right| dx \\
&\leq \int_{Q_0} \int_{Q_0} |g(x) - g(y)| \, dxdy \\
&= \frac{1}{|Q_0|} \int_{Q_0} \int_{Q_0} |f(x) - f(y)| \, dxdy \\
&\leq \int_{Q_0} |\gamma(y)| \, dy \text{ (since } \gamma \in \Gamma_f^X) \\
&= \|\gamma\|_{L^1} \\
&\leq C_X \|\gamma\|_X .
\end{aligned}$$

Updating (3.8) we obtain

$$\|g\|_X \preceq \|\gamma\|_X .$$

Therefore, taking infimum over all $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$ yields that there exists an absolute constant $c(X)$, that depends only on X, such that

$$\|f - f_{Q_0}\|_X \leq c(X) \|f\|_{GaRo_X} ,$$

as we wished to show. □

Corollary 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then,*

$$GaRo_{L(p,\infty)} = GaRo_p.$$

Proof. It is well known and easy to see that the $L(p,\infty)$ spaces, $1 < p < \infty$, have Boyd indices in $(0,1)$ (cf. [5], [8]). Thus, by Theorem 3

$$GaRo_{L(p,\infty)} = L(p,\infty),$$

which combined with (1.4) yields the desired result. □

Remark 2. *To illustrate the conditions defining $GaRo_{L(p,\infty)}$ and $GaRo_p$, we now give a direct proof of the containment $GaRo_{L(p,\infty)} \subset GaRo_p, 1 < p < \infty$. We observe that if $\gamma \in \Gamma_f^X$ then, for any $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I} \in P$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} \int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)| \, dxdy &\leq \sum_{i\in I} \int_{Q_i} |\gamma(x)| \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{Q_i}^{\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|} \gamma^*(s) ds \\
&\leq \|\gamma\|_{L(p,\infty)} \int_{Q_i}^{\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|} s^{-1/p} ds \\
&= p' \|\gamma\|_{L(p,\infty)} \left(\sum_{i\in I} |Q_i| \right)^{1/p'} .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_p} \leq p' \|f\|_{GaRo_{L(p,\infty)}} .$$

Remark 3. *It is also instructive to compare (3.2) with the rearrangement inequalities in* [17, Theorem 5 (ii)]. *For this purpose note that if* $X = L(p,\infty)$, $1 < p < \infty$, *then* $\gamma \in X$ *implies that*

$$\gamma^{**}(t) \leq c_p \left\|\gamma\right\|_{L(p,\infty)} t^{-1/p}, t > 0.$$

Combining the last estimate with (3.2), we see that if $f \in GaRo_{L(p,\infty)}, \gamma \in L(p,\infty)$, *then*

$$t^{1/p}\left(f^{**}(t) - f^{*}(t)\right) \leq c_p \left\|\gamma\right\|_{L(p,\infty)}, \text{ for all } t < 1/4.$$

Compare with [17, Theorem 5 (ii)].

4. Garsia-Rodemich Spaces and Fractional Sobolev spaces

As we have shown in [18], the Garsia-Rodemich formulation of Marcinkiewicz spaces leads to an easy approach to the self-improvement of (weak type) Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities. In this section we discuss fractional Sobolev inequalities. First we use ideas from [9], [6]) to prove weak type embeddings[14] of fractional Sobolev spaces (cf. Subsection 4.1). Since strong type inequalities can be then obtained by the well known method of truncation of Maz'ya we will not address the issue here. Instead, in Subsection 4.2 we take a different approach. Using the generalized Gagliardo seminorms and generalized Sobolev spaces defined in the Introduction (cf. (1.16) and (1.17) above), combined with known estimates for Riesz potentials, and Theorem 3, we obtain embeddings of Fractional Sobolev spaces based on rearrangement invariant spaces.

4.1. Weak type inequalities.

Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $1 < p < \infty$. We shall consider the $W^{\alpha,p}$ spaces introduced in the Introduction (cf. (1.13) and (1.14) above).

Theorem 4. *(i) Let* $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. *Then,*

$$\left\|f\right\|_{GaRo_q} \leq n^{\frac{(n+\alpha p)}{p2}} \left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}. \tag{4.1}$$

(ii) If $p = \frac{n}{\alpha}$, *then*

$$\left\|f\right\|_{GaRo_\infty} \leq n^{\alpha} \left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,\frac{n}{\alpha}}}.$$

Proof. (i) Let $f \in W^{\alpha,p}$, and let $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary element of $\tilde{P}$. Let

$$A = \sum_{i\in I} \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy.$$

Estimating the distance between two points of Q_i by the diameter of Q_i we find that for any $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dxdy = \frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^r} |x-y|^r\, dxdy \tag{4.2}$$

$$\leq \frac{n^{r/2}\,|Q_i|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^r} dxdy. \tag{4.3}$$

[14]The method is amenable of extensions to a much more general context that we shall not pursue here.

Let $r = \frac{(n+\alpha p)}{p}$, then summing (4.3) and applying Hölder's inequality (twice) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \sum_{i\in I} \frac{n^{r/2}\left|Q_i\right|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^r}dxdy \\ &\leq n^{r/2}\sum_{i\in I}\left(\frac{\left|Q_i\right|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\left|Q_i\right|^{2/p'}\right)\left\{\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dxdy\right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq n^{r/2}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left\{\frac{\left|Q_i\right|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\left|Q_i\right|^{2/p'}\right\}^{p'}\right\}^{1/p'}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dxdy\right\}^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

(4.4)

$$\leq n^{r/2}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left\{\frac{\left|Q_i\right|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\left|Q_i\right|^{2/p'}\right\}^{p'}\right\}^{1/p'}\left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}$$

Now, by computation $\left(\frac{r}{n}-1\right)p'+2 = \frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i\in I}\left\{\frac{\left|Q_i\right|^{r/n}}{|Q_i|}\left|Q_i\right|^{2/p'}\right\}^{p'} &= \sum_{i\in I}\left|Q_i\right|^{(\frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1)} \\ &\leq \left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left|Q_i\right|\right\}^{(\frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this information in (4.4) yields

$$A \leq n^{r/2}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left|Q_i\right|\right\}^{(\frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1)\frac{1}{p'}}\left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $(\frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1)\frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q'}$, we obtain

$$\left\|f\right\|_{GaRo_q} \leq n^{r/2}\left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,p}}.$$

(ii) In the limiting case, $p = \frac{n}{\alpha}$, therefore $p' = \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$ and we see that $(\frac{\alpha}{n}p'+1) = p'$; consequently, by definition, $\frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Let $r = \frac{2n}{p}$, inserting this information in (4.5) yields

$$A \leq n^{\alpha}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\left|Q_i\right|\right\}\left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,\frac{n}{\alpha}}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\|f\right\|_{GaRo_\infty} \leq n^{\alpha}\left\|f\right\|_{W^{\alpha,\frac{n}{\alpha}}}. \tag{4.6}$$

□

Remark 4. *By private correspondence Daniel Spector observed that with a minor modification the proof also works in the case $p = 1$. Indeed, if $p = 1$, we let $r = n+\alpha$, and proceed as in the proof of case (i), but now only one application of Hölder's*

inequality is needed to obtain

$$A \leq n^{(n+\alpha)/2} \sup_{i\in I}\left\{|Q_i|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\right\}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\right\}$$
$$\leq n^{(n+\alpha)/2}\left\{\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|\right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\|f\|_{W^{\alpha,1}}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{q}=1-\frac{\alpha}{n}$ *we thus have,*

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_q} \leq n^{(n+\alpha)/2}\|f\|_{W^{\alpha,1}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Alternatively the inequality (4.7) can be obtained letting $p\to 1$ *in (4.1).*

Remark 5. *Note that starting with (4.3) applied to a cube* Q *with* $r=\frac{2n}{p}$*, and then applying Hölder's inequality, yields*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy \leq \frac{n^\alpha\,|Q|^{2/p}}{|Q|^2}\,|Q|^{2/p'}\left(\int_Q\int_Q\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{2n}}dxdy\right)^{1/p},$$

and (4.6) follows from the fact that

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_\infty}=\|f\|_{BMO}\simeq\sup_{Q\subset Q_0}\frac{1}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy.$$

This approach to (4.6) is classical (cf. [9]*); the use of the Garsia-Rodemich spaces unifies the proof of (i) and (ii).*

4.2. **Strong type inequalities.** The characterization of rearrangement invariant spaces X with indices lying on $(0,1)$ as $GaRo_X$ spaces provided by Theorem 3 allows to unify the proofs of the weak and strong type Sobolev inequalities in the general context of rearrangement invariant spaces. For other treatments of fractional Sobolev inequalities we refer to [7], [3], [22], [12], [26] and the references therein.

Let $\alpha\in(0,1)$, $1\leq p<\infty$. Recall the definition of the main objects of study:

$$D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)=\left\{\int_{Q_0}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dx\right\}^{1/p},\ y\in Q_0.$$
$$W^\alpha_{p,Y}:=W^\alpha_{p,Y}(Q_0):=\{f:\|D_{p,\alpha}(f)\|_Y<\infty\}.$$

$$I_{\alpha,Q_0}(f)(x)=\int_{Q_0}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}dy,\ x\in Q_0.$$

Our main result reads as follows

Theorem 5. *Let* $\alpha\in(0,1), 1<p<\frac{n}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{n}$*. Let* X *and* Y *be rearrangement invariant spaces such that* I_{α,Q_0} *is a bounded map,* $I_{\alpha,Q_0}:Y\to X$*. Then,*

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y}\subset GaRo_X.$$

Proof. Let $f\in W^\alpha_{p,Y}$, and let $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary element of $\tilde{P}$. Let

$$A=\sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|\,dxdy.$$

Using Jensen's inequality on the inner integral we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\leq \sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}|f(x)-f(y)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p}dy\\
&\leq n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\left(\frac{|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{n}}}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dx\right)^{1/p}dy\\
&\leq n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}\frac{|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{np}}}{|Q_i|^{1/p}}\int_{Q_i}\left(\int_{Q_i}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dx\right)^{1/p}dy\\
&\leq n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}\frac{|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{np}}}{|Q_i|^{1/p}}\int_{Q_i}\left(\int_{Q_0}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha p}}dx\right)^{1/p}dy\\
&= n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}\frac{|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{np}}}{|Q_i|^{1/p}}\int_{Q_i}D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)dy\\
&= n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{np}-\frac{1}{p}}|Q_i|^{-1}|Q_i|\int_{Q_i}D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)dy\\
&= n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|^{\frac{n+\alpha p}{np}-\frac{1}{p}-1}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)dydz\\
&= n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}\sum_{i\in I}|Q_i|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)dydz\\
&\leq n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}n^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}}\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_i}\frac{D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}}dydz\\
&\leq n^{(n+\alpha p)/2p}n^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}}\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}\int_{Q_0}\frac{D_{p,\alpha}(f)(y)}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}}dydz\\
&= C_n\sum_{i\in I}\int_{Q_i}I_{\alpha,Q_0}(D_{p,\alpha}(f))(z)dz. \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_{\alpha,Q_0}(D_{p,\alpha}(f))\|_X &\leq \|I_{\alpha,Q_0}\|_{Y\to X}\|D_{p,\alpha}(f)\|_Y\\
&= \|I_{\alpha,Q_0}\|_{Y\to X}\|f\|_{W^\alpha_{p,Y}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this fact with (4.8) we see that $C_nI_{\alpha,Q_0}(D_{p,\alpha}(f))\in\Gamma^X_f$, and

$$\|f\|_{GaRo_X}\leq C_n\|I_{\alpha,Q_0}\|_{Y\to X}\|f\|_{W^\alpha_{p,Y}},$$

as we wished to show. □

Corollary 2. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 5 hold and, furthermore, that X has Boyd indices lying in $(0,1)$. Then,*

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y}\subset X.$$

Proof. Applying successively Theorem 5 and Theorem 3 we obtain

$$W^\alpha_{p,Y}\subset GaRo_X = X.$$

□

Example 1. *The Lorentz spaces $L(s,r), 1<s<\infty, 1\le r\le\infty$, are defined by the condition*

$$\|f\|_{L(s,r)}=\left\{\int_0^\infty\left(f^{**}(u)u^{1/s}\right)^r\frac{du}{u}\right\}^{1/r}<\infty.$$

It is well known (cf. [21]*) that if $1<p<\frac{n}{\alpha}$, $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\alpha}{n}, 1\le r_1\le r_2\le\infty$, then $I_{\alpha,Q_0}: L(p,r_1)\to L(q,r_2)$,is a bounded map. Then we can conclude that*[15]

$$W^\alpha_{p,L(p,r_1)}\subset GaRo_{L(q,r_2)}=L(q,r_2).$$

Example 2. *The previous discussion shows that*

$$\|f\|_{L^q}\le c(q)\|f\|_{GaRo_{L^q}}\le c(q)C_n\|I_{\alpha,Q_0}\|_{L^p\to L^q}\|f\|_{W^\alpha_{p,L^p}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Note that since

$$W^\alpha_{p,L^p}=W^{\alpha,p},$$

(4.9) gives the corresponding strong type inequalities of Theorem 4.

Example 3. *The end point inequalities for local Riesz potentials that were obtained in* [10] *can be also implemented here. For example, when $p=\frac{n}{\alpha}$, we have (cf.* [10, Theorem 2]*), $I_{\alpha,Q_0}: L(\frac{n}{\alpha},\frac{n}{\alpha})\to BW_{n/\alpha}$, where*

$$BW_{n/\alpha}=\{f:\|f\|_{BW_{n/\alpha}}=\left\{\int_0^1\left(\frac{f^*(t)}{(1+\log\frac{1}{t})}\right)^{n/\alpha}\frac{dt}{t}\right\}^{\alpha/n}<\infty\}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following fractional version of the well known Brezis-Wainger inequality [10]*,*

$$W^\alpha_{\frac{n}{\alpha}}=W^\alpha_{\frac{n}{\alpha},L(\frac{n}{\alpha},\frac{n}{\alpha})}\subset GaRo_{BW_{n/\alpha}}.$$

5. Final Remarks

Finally we comment briefly on some loose ends that we are leaving for future work.

- We should mention the interesting work by Ambrosio-Bourgain-Brezis-Figalli (cf. [1]) on isotropic versions of **B** and their use in the computation of perimeters of sets. What is the connection with scalings of Garsia-Rodemich conditions and the limits fractional Sobolev norms (cf. [13], [23], [20])?
- It could be interesting to explore the role of Garsia-Rodemich conditions in solving the problem of proving dimension free versions of the John-Nirenberg inequality (cf. [11]).
- As it often happens in mathematics, the generalization of the Garsia-Rodemich condition developed in this paper makes it easier to understand the connection with other constructions. In a forthcoming joint paper with Sergey Astashkin [2] we connect the Garsia-Rodemich spaces to the Fefferman-Stein inequalities and interpolation theory.

[15] In particular, if we let $r_1=p<r_2=\infty$, we recover (1.15).

References

[1] L. Ambrosio, J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and A. Figalli, *BMO type of norms related to the perimeter of sets*, Comm Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), 1062-1086.

[2] S. Astashkin and M. Milman, *Garsia-Rodemich spaces: Majorization and Fefferman-Stein inequality*, to appear.

[3] W. Beckner, *Embedding Estimates and Fractional Smoothness*, Int Math Res Notices **2014** (2014), 390-417.

[4] C. Bennett, R. DeVore, and R. Sharpley, *Weak$-L^\infty$ and BMO*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 601–611.

[5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston**,** 1988.

[6] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, *A new function space and applications*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), 2083–2101.

[7] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, *Another look at Sobolev spaces*, in Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations (J. L. Menaldi, E. Rofman et A. Sulem, eds.) a volume in honor of A. Bensoussans's 60th birthday, IOS Press, 2001, pp 439–455.

[8] D. W. Boyd, *Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation*, Canad. Math. J. **21** (1969), 1245-1254.

[9] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Degree theory and BMO. I. Compact manifolds without boundaries*, Selecta Math. (N.S.)**1** (1995), 197–263.

[10] H. Brezis and S. Wainger, *A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **5** (1980), 773-789.

[11] M. Cwikel, Y. Sagher, and P. Shvartsman, *A new look at the John–Nirenberg and John–Strömberg theorems for BMO*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 129–167.

[12] R.L. Frank and R. Seiringer, *Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), 3407–3430.

[13] N. Fusco, V. Millot and M. Morino, *Quantitative isoperimetric inequality for fractional perimeters*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 697-715.

[14] A. M. Garsia, *Martingale inequalities: Seminar notes on recent progress*. Mathematics Lecture Notes Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1973.

[15] A. M. Garsia and E. Rodemich, *Monotonicity of certain functional under rearrangements*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **24** (1974), 67-116.

[16] F. John and L. Nirenberg, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 415-426.

[17] M. Milman, *Marcinkiewicz spaces, Garsia-Rodemich spaces and the scale of John-Nirenberg self improving inequalities*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicæ Math. **41** (2016), 491-501.

[18] M. Milman, *A note on self improvement of Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities via Garsia-Rodemich spaces,* Pure and Applied Functional Analysis **1** (2016), 429-439.

[19] M. Milman, *BMO: Oscillations, self-improvement, Gagliardo coordinate spaces and reverse Hardy inequalities*, Harmonic Analysis, Partial Differential Equations, Banach Spaces, and Operator Theory. Celebrating Cora Sadosky's Life. Volume 1 (Edited by S. Marcantognini, M. C. Pereyra, A. Stokolos and W. Urbina), AWM-Springer Series, 2016, pp 233-274.

[20] M. Milman, in preparation.

[21] R. O'Neil, *Convolution operators and L(p,q) spaces*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 129-142.

[22] A. C. Ponce, *Elliptic PDEs, Measures and Capacities: From the Poisson Equation to Nonlinear Thomas–Fermi Problems*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics Vol. 23, 2016.

[23] A. C. Ponce and D. Spector, *On formulae decoupling the total variation of BV functions*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory and applications, to appear.

[24] E. Stein, *Singular integrals and the differentiability of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.

[25] A. Torchinsky, *Real variable methods in harmonic analysis*, Academic Press, 1986.

[26] J. Xiao and Zh. Zhai, *Fractional Sobolev, Moser-Trudinger, Morrey-Sobolev inequalities under Lorentz norms*, J. Math. Sci. **166** (2010), 119–136.

Instituto Argentino de Matematica

E-mail address: mario.milman@gmail.com

URL: https://sites.google.com/site/mariomilman/